00

www.neuropsychopharmacology.org

Perspective

Does COMT val¹⁵⁸met Affect Behavioral Phenotypes: Yes, No, Maybe?

Herbert M Lachman*,1

¹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA

The *COMT* gene functional polymorphism val¹⁵⁸met is one of the most intensively studied variants in psychiatric genetics. Due to small effect size and various methodological issues, its role in various psychiatric disorders and behavioral traits has still not been unequivocally established. In this issue of *Neuropsychopharmacology*, several studies are presented supporting a role for *COMT* as a factor in cocaine addiction, brain reward activation, response to tolcapone, distractibility in ADHD, and fMRI bold response. The studies make important contributions to the growing literature that aim to establish an effect of this functional variant on behavioral phenotypes and treatment response.

Neuropsychopharmacology (2008) 33, 3027-3029; doi:10.1038/npp.2008.189; published online 15 October 2008

Keywords: COMT; catechol-0-methyltransferase; psychiatric genetics; behavioral genetics

Catechol-O-methylransferase (COMT) had an illustrious beginning. The enzyme, which metabolizes catecholamines and catechol-estrogens in the CNS and periphery, was discovered by Julius Axelrod in the late 1950s, contributing to his share of the 1970 Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine (Axelrod and Tomchick, 1958). COMT soon captured the attention of pioneering biological psychiatrists, largely as a consequence of the catecholamine hypothesis, the prevailing early biological model for affective disorders (Schildkraut, 1965). Analysis of red blood cell COMT activity and norepinephrine metabolites in the CNS in psychiatric disorders developed into a cottage industry. These studies, in retrospect, seem quaint (even naive) in terms of the miniscule number of subjects analyzed, the lack of attention to confounders, such as population stratification, and the analytical tools used (compare measuring red cell COMT enzyme activity in a few dozen subjects with the current craze of genotyping one million SNPs in thousands of carefully matched cases and controls). Foretelling the experience of more 'sophisticated' future generations of psychiatric geneticists, the early COMT studies were characterized by a grab bag of findings; increased, decreased or no change in activity in depression, bipolar disorder, and schizophrenia were all described.

Interest in COMT surged in the 1990s following the discovery of a common functional genetic variant at codon 158 (val¹⁵⁸met), which leads to substantial differences in enzyme activity (Lachman *et al*, 1996; Lotta *et al*, 1995).

*Correspondence: Dr HM Lachman, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, USA, Tel: +718-430-2428, Fax: +718-430-8772, E-mail: Lachman@aecom.yu.edu Received 17 September 2008; accepted 19 September 2008

Genetic analysis of this functional SNP provided a simple, and biologically meaningful way by which psychiatric geneticists could reexamine COMT as a candidate gene for psychiatric disorders in large numbers of subjects. Since then, hundreds of studies have been published in which COMT val¹⁵⁸met has been examined for essentially every neuropsychiatric disorder. Given the recalcitrant nature of complex traits, however, the modern generation of researchers has fared only a little better than its predecessors, and the role of COMT in psychiatric disorders remains largely an open question (Craddock et al, 2006). However, as reported in the perspective by Harrison and Tunbridge (2008), evidence for sexual dimorphism with respect to COMT val¹⁵⁸met in OCD is arguably one of the more robust associations. In addition, based on the original work by Egan et al and a number of follow-up studies COMT val¹⁵⁸met has become a leading contender to explain part of the genetic variance underlying interindividual differences in prefrontal executive function, with val¹⁵⁸ being associated with worse performance, albeit with a small effect size (Egan et al, 2001). However, a recent meta-analysis questions the validity of those findings (Barnett et al, 2008).

This issue of Neuropsychopharmacology with five original papers and the Harrison and Tunbridge perspective features the analysis of val¹⁵⁸met in a variety of behavioral and pharmacological paradigms adding to the everincreasing *COMT* literature, with some interesting new twists and experimental designs. One of the most intriguing is the study by Giakoumaki *et al* (2008). The investigators carried out a double blind crossover assessment of executive function and prepulse inhibition using the centrally acting COMT inhibitor tolcapone in 24 healthy subjects homozygous for one or the other codon 158 variant. They found



that tolcapone improved executive function and prepulse inhibition, but only in val/val homozygotes, a finding consistent with the lower levels of cortical dopamine expected of individuals with this genotype. The small sample size and preliminary nature of the observations prevents one from extrapolating too quickly to treating patients with cognitive dysfunction with tolcapone or similarly acting drugs, as suggested previously by Apud and Weinberger (2007), perhaps in a COMT genotype dependent manner. However, the results are sufficiently interesting and potentially of such clinical importance that further investigation must be carried out.

Sengupta et al (2008) report another 'pharmacogenomic' type of analysis related to COMT genotype in which taskoriented behaviors and response to methylphenidate in ADHD were assessed. Children with ADHD (n = 188) were subjected to a double blind, placebo controlled cross over study and evaluated by RASS (Restricted Academic Situation Scale), which records fidgety and distracting behavior in a simulated academic setting (lower scores mean greater attention). Although no significant association between *COMT* genotype and ADHD susceptibility had been described previously, Sengupta et al found that children with met/met and met/val had lower RASS scores compared with val/val. However, although methyphenidate significantly improved RASS scores, the response was independent of genotype. If the findings are confirmed, it would support the idea that an ADHD endophenotype is associated with val¹⁵⁸met. Although this understanding may not be clinically relevant with respect to predicting methyphenidate responsiveness, the findings suggest that a COMT inhibitor might benefit children with ADHD who carry the val/val genotype.

Two of the studies in this issue are related in their assessment of val¹⁵⁸met as a candidate for addiction or addiction-related phenotypes. Lohoff et al (2008) provide evidence for an association between met¹⁵⁸ and cocaine dependent African Americans. This is in contrast to positive associations made in methamphetamine abusers, nicotine addiction, and polysubstance abusers to the val¹⁵⁸ allele in previously published studies (Beuten et al, 2006; Li et al, 2004; Vandenberg et al, 1997). If the findings are replicated, it could suggest that COMT may play a role in addiction vulnerability in a genotype/drug-dependent manner. However, this is unlikely considering that many cocaine addicts are polysubstance abusers. Whether the Lohoff et al study and the contrary findings in other addiction studies stand the test of time (and replication) remains to be seen; most studies examining the role of COMT in addiction have been negative.

Consistent with the Beuten et al, Li et al, and Vandenberg et al findings, the paper reported here by Wichers et al (2008) also supports the idea that val¹⁵⁸ could be an addiction susceptibility allele. Test subjects (all women to reduce COMT-related sex effects as a confounder) were asked to assess their daily living environments using ESM (experience sampling method), a structured diary technique. Events were rated as very unpleasant, neutral, and very pleasant. 'Positive affect' was also assessed using four mood adjectives (cheerful, content, energetic, enthusiastic) rated on a seven-point Likert scale, as was 'negative affect' (by six adjectives; feeling insecure, lonely, anxious, low, guilty,

suspicious). Ability to experience everyday reward was associated with met¹⁵⁸, whereas subjects with the val/val genotype experienced significantly less reward. This finding is compatible with the 'reward deficiency' hypothesis, which posits that individuals who do not experience sufficient reward from everyday pleasures (food, sex, social interaction, work, and school, for example) are prone to habitually seek the intensity of brain reward region activation induced by addicting substances. Wichers et al suggest that individuals with val/val genotypes who have suboptimal reward experiences are more at risk for depression and addiction. Longitudinal studies to follow the progression from lack of reward sensation to addiction, in the context of COMT genotype, would be especially interesting.

Finally, the paper by Ettinger et al (2008) contributes to the growing literature involving the analysis of brain functional responses as plausible endophenotypes that can be used to identify genes involved in complex psychiatric disorders more effectively, as suggested by Meyer-Lindenberg and Weinberger (2006). Ettinger et al evaluated the effect of val¹⁵⁸met on fMRI BOLD response during prosaccade and antisaccade task performance and found differential effects of val¹⁵⁸ and met¹⁵⁸. Although val¹⁵⁸ carriers (homozygotes and heterozygotes) showed lower BOLD response in the prefrontal cortex during antisaccades, met 158 homozygotes showed lower BOLD response in postsaccades in the posterior cingulate and precuneus. This differential response is compatible with the hypothesis proposed by Bilder et al (2004) who suggested that val¹⁵⁸ and met¹⁵⁸ have opposite effects on phasic and tonic dopamine transmission in cortex and subcortical regions, influencing cognitive function and behavior. Although intriguing, the Ettinger study is limited by small sample size (n=36).

Fortunately we are beyond the stage where we must measure levels of enzymes and substrates in every conceivable bodily fluid to piece together the role played by COMT in a biological system of interest. The COMT gene provides a relatively rare example where there is a common functional polymorphism that can be used to track differences between individuals in enzyme activity important for neurotransmission. Although our ability to study this variant has opened a proverbial window into the brain through which we can begin to observe individual differences in catecholaminergic metabolism, there are few 'eureka' moments in complex traits genetics, and none of the original studies reported here fulfill this lofty ambition. However, if one is content presenting novel and interesting first-stage findings, then the investigators contributing to the COMT papers in this volume have successfully accomplished this goal.

DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares that he has not received financial support or compensation from any individual or corporate entity over the past 3 years for research or professional service and there are no personal financial holdings that could be perceived as constituting a potential conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Apud JA, Weinberger DR (2007). Treatment of cognitive deficits associated with schizophrenia: potential role of catechol-Omethyltransferase inhibitors. CNS Drugs 21: 535-557.
- Axelrod J, Tomchick R (1958). Enzymatic O-methylation of epinephrine and other catechols. JBC 233: 702-705.
- Barnett JH, Scoriels L, Munafò MR (2008). Meta-analysis of the cognitive effects of the catechol-O-methyltransferase gene Val158/108Met polymorphism. Biol Psychiatry 64: 137-144.
- Beuten J, Payne TJ, Ma JZ, Li MD (2006). Significant association of catechol-O-methyltransferase (COMT) haplotypes with nicotine dependence in male and female smokers of two ethnic populations. Neuropsychopharmacology 31: 675-684.
- Bilder RM, Volavka J, Lachman H, Grace AA (2004). The catechol-Omethyltransferase polymorphism: relations to the tonic-phasic dopamine hypothesis and neuropsychiatric phenotypes. Neuropsychopharmacology **29**: 1943–1961.
- Craddock N, Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC (2006). The catechol-Omethyl transferase (COMT) gene as a candidate for psychiatric phenotypes: evidence and lessons. Mol Psychiatry 11: 446-458.
- Egan MF, Goldberg TE, Kolachana BS, Callicott JH, Mazzanti CM, Straub RE et al (2001). Effect of COMT Val108/158 Met genotype on frontal lobe function and risk for schizophrenia. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 98: 6917-6922.
- Ettinger U, Kumari V, Collier DA, Powell J, Luzi S, Michel TM et al (2008). Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT) Val¹⁵⁸Met Genotype is Associated with BOLD Response as a Function of Task Characteristic. Neuropsychopharmacology (in press).
- Giakoumaki SG, Roussos P, Bitsios P (2008). Improvement of Prepulse Inhibition and Executive Function by the COMT Inhibitor Tolcapone Depends on COMT Val158Met Polymorphism. Neuropsychopharmacology (in press).
- Harrison PJ, Tunbridge EM (2008). Catechol-O-Methyltransferase (COMT): A Gene Contributing to Sex Differences in Brain

- Function, and to Sexual Dimorphism in the Predisposition to Psychiatric Disorders. Neuropsychopharmacology (in press).
- Lachman HM, Papolos DF, Saito T, Yu YM, Szumlanski CL, Weinshilboum RM (1996). Human catechol-O-methyltransferase pharmacogenetics: description of a functional polymorphism and its potential application to neuropsychiatric disorders. Pharmacogenetics 6: 243-250.
- Li T, Chen CK, Hu X, Ball D, Lin SK, Chen W et al (2004). Association analysis of the DRD4 and COMT genes in methamphetamine abuse. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 129B: 120-124.
- Lohoff FW, Weller AE, Bloch PJ, Nall AH, Ferraro TN, Kampman KM et al (2008). Association Between the Catechol-O-Methyltransferase Val158Met Polymorphism and Cocaine Dependence. Neuropsychopharmacology (in press).
- Lotta T, Vidgren J, Tilgmann C, Ulmanen I, Melén K, Julkunen I et al (1995). Kinetics of human soluble and membrane-bound catechol O-methyltransferase: a revised mechanism and description of the thermolabile variant of the enzyme. Biochemistry 34: 4202-4210.
- Meyer-Lindenberg A, Weinberger DR (2006). Intermediate phenotypes and genetic mechanisms of psychiatric disorders. Nat Rev Neurosci 7: 818-827.
- Schildkraut JJ (1965). The catecholamine hypothesis of affective disorders: a review of supporting evidence. Am J Psychiatry 122: 509-522.
- Sengupta S, Grizenko N, Schmitz N, Schwartz G, Bellingham J, Polotskaia A et al (2008). COMT Val^{108/158}Met Polymorphism and the Modulation of Task-Oriented Behavior in Children with ADHD. Neuropsychopharmacology (in press).
- Vandenberg DJ, Miller I, Uhl G, Lachman HM (1997). A high activity COMT allele is associated with substance abuse vulnerablility. Am I Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet 74: 439-442.
- Wichers M, Aguilera M, Kenis G, Krabbendam L, Myin-Germeys I, Jacobs N et al. (2008). The Catechol-O-Methyl Transferase Val¹⁵⁸Met Polymorphism and Experience of Reward in the Flow of Daily Life. Neuropsychopharmacology (in press).